Mr D. S Richards The Oriental Institute Pusey Lane Oxford OX1 2LE

D. S. Richards

The Mamluk Barīd: Some Evidence from the Haram Documents

The classic exposition of the Mamluk official postal service, the *barīd*, remains the work of Jean Sauvaget. In conformity with the available literary evidence, and after a survey of surviving relay stations (*marākiz*), Sauvaget maintained that Jerusalem, removed as it was from the main centres of affairs, had no role to play in the widespread communications network represented by the barid—except for its being a link in the carrier pigeon chain. For the Fatimid period, in the 11th century, there is in certain documents from the Cairo Geniza evidence for the existence of a commercial postal service, connecting Jerusalem with Cairo. Whether such services continued in any way after that period I have no idea. I confine myself here to speculation about the Mamluk official barid.

A closer look at the details of the general descriptions of the barid routes does suggest that the lesser administrative centres (maqārr al-wilāyāt), of which Jerusalem was one, could be linked into the barid system as and when that was necessary.4 A small number of Haram documents5 shows, however sketchily, that Jerusalem did have a role to play in the official overland postal system, perhaps of that secondary nature. It is difficult to decide whether the references in these documents to the barid and the couriers (barīdiyya) are dealing with a regular service or ad hoc arrangements. However some longterm presence of the postal organisation is suggested by the fact that an inventory of a woman's possessions (Haram no. 450, dated 15 Muharram 796/20 November 1393) describes her place of residence as 'near the Stable of the Barid (istabl al-barīd)' in the Banī 'Āmir Quarter of Jerusalem, as though it was a regular topographical feature.

The other relevant documents refer tantalisingly to aspects of the administration of the barid. The situation as known from literary evidence⁶ is that the postal services over certain areas between Egypt and Palestine were supplied and manned by Bedouin and their Emirs, who were rewarded by being assigned *iqṭā*'s. All other areas were maintained by direct government finance. We can now see in a particular case how part of the local income of the Treasury in Jerusalem was used to support operations of the barid. In the documents being considered, that income came from escheated inheritances. Whether such sums accruing to the Treasury from the estates of persons deceased in Jerusalem were regularly used to meet expenses of the barid or whether we happen to have an example of what was rather an ad hoc application cannot, of course, be decided.

There are in the Haram collection three versions of an account submitted by an official of the Treasury in Jerusalem. The numbers are 59, 374 and 535. The first two have basically the same text with variations but 535 adds substantial extra information. The account is for the receipt of sums of money from the estates of six persons who died during the month of Shawwāl 793/September 1391, and for certain expenditure made therefrom. Incidentally, in a group of documents catalogued as Haram no. 770, there survive by chance papers preparatory, as it were, to the account. They give the details of five of the estates mentioned above. They are examples of the sort of paper-work well represented in the Haram archive, namely the itemizing of the effects of a deceased person, and frequently an account of the monies they realised, the expenses deducted therefrom (for example, administration costs, any rents or debts outstanding and burial costs), and a statement of the residue, and the sum that was received by the Treasury.

A comparison of these five inventories and the versions of the Treasury account make it clear that the responsible official was one Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn Zain al-Dīn 'Umar ibn Nāṣir al-Khallā'ī. One of the recipients of a salary (murattab) is Khālid al-Khallā'ī, no doubt Muḥammad's son, for

¹ J. Sauvaget, La Poste aux Chevaux dans l'Empire des Mamelouks, Paris, 1941.

² Ibn Fadl Allah al-'Umarī, Ta'rīf al-Muşţalāḥ al-Sharīf, Cairo, 1312 A.H., p. 197.

³ S. D. Goitein, A Mediterranean Society, University of California Press, 1967, 1, pp. 292–4.

⁴ al-Umarī, *Ta'rīf*, p. 194, ult. (read *maqārr* for *miqdār*) to p. 195, line 1 and lines 15–16, and p. 196, lines 9–10; cf. Qalqashandī, Şubh, xıv, pp. 383 (read *maqārr* for *miqdār*) and 385.

⁵ For this collection, see D. P. Little, 'The Significance of the Haram Documents' etc., Der Islam, LVII, 1980, pp. 189–219, and his catalogue, now available, A Catalogue of the Islamic Documents from al-Haram aš-Šarif in Jerusalem, Beirut, 1984.

 $^{^6}$ al-Umari, Ta'rif, pp. 189–190, and cf. Maqrizi, Sulük, 1, p. 481, for arrangements made by Baibars at Gaza in 661/1263.

there is a 'Khālid, his son', who appears in the list of additional expenditure.

It is tempting to identify the Muḥammad al-Khallā'ī, the man who prepared the account, with the man of the same name whose arrest had been ordered by the Qadi Sharaf al-Dīn for some unspecified misuse of the horses of the barid. The circumstance may be understood from a reply sent to the Qadi from a courier, identified only as 'Īsā.⁷ This reply (Haram no. 272) is dated 16 Ṣafar 793/23 January 1391. The courier undertakes to arrest Muḥammad, the wanted man, at the provincial administrative centre of Qaqun, which was also a stage of the barid, on the latter's return from Damascus. It is difficult to imagine why the Qadi is involved at all unless the misuse was connected with the barid horses from Jerusalem. Can one infer from the apparent scenario that to travel to Damascus from Jerusalem and to return one descended to the main coastal barid route and passed through Qaqun?

Turning back to details of the account, certain technical terms occur, the most obvious being tasfir and sawwāq. Tasfir was translated by Sauvaget as 'frais de mission', 8 expenses provided during employment on official business via the barid. 150 dirhams is the sum paid under that heading to Saif al-Dīn Tashtimur, who is described (in one version) as 'mamluk of the governor of Gaza'. Note that he is not described as a regular courier. A later entry in the account is for 100 dirhams paid as tasfīr to Qutlubughā al-barīdī, the courier. But Tashtimur, not being a regular courier (the barid was not only a postal service but also a system for providing swift passage of personnel on official business) may well have been accompanied by a sawwāq. Sawwāqs, according to Sauvaget, were persons 'qui accompagnaient, pour pousser leur monture et les servir durant leur voyage, ceux dont il avait été ordonné qu'ils montassent les chevaux de la poste.'9 As it happens, there is an item for the hire of a sawwaq and the hire of his mule and the cost of barley ($14\frac{1}{2}$ dirhams in all) immediately after the entry for the tasfir of Tashtimur. Six dirhams was also the sum paid to 'the sawwaq of Bugha Timur.' That there were persons who regularly served in the capacity of sawwaq is suggested by the entries for 'barley of the sawwaq of Kerak and Gaza—10 dirhams' and for 'Nāṣir, the sawwāg of Husban -10 dirhams' (Husban was a stage on the Damascus-Kerak route). One might also be tempted to infer from the appearance of individuals so described that there was some regular traffic on those routes—to Husban across the Jordan valley, as another way of linking up with a main route to Damascus, and perhaps—in the case of 'the sawwāq of Kerak and Gaza'—

⁷ Little (A Catalogue etc., p. 42) incorrectly identifies the writer as 'Muḥammad b. 'Īsā z-Zaidī š-Šarafī'. For my reading, see Appendix A. The 'al-Sharafī', written below the beginning of the basmala, expresses subordination to the addressee, Sharaf al-Dīn, whom I identify with the Qadi Sharaf al-Dīn Īsā b. Ghānim al-Shāfī, whose name appears passim throughout the Haram documents. His career has been set forth by Little (A Catalogue etc., pp. 8–12). Line 3 of Haram no. 272 is doubtful but there appears to be proof in the document that Sharaf al-Dīn was Chief Qadi in 793/1391. The subject matter concerned with 'the purchase of a dār' is restricted to lines 8–14.

via Khalīl (Hebron) to join that established east-west route. 10

What is noteworthy from the other items of expenditure in the account is that runners ($su^*\bar{a}t$) are hired for official business ($muhimm\bar{a}t$), and there is ad hoc hiring of mounts and other personnel. There are two items for 10 dirhams for the hire of mules for couriers. At least one of these may possibly refer to one of the documents in the Haram no. 770 group of papers, which is a receipt from a Muḥammad ibn 'Īsā ibn Muḥammad, 'arīf, overseer of the muleteers in Jerusalem, for 10 dirhams for the hire of a mule from Jerusalem to Hebron and back.¹¹ In this connection one may note that the Muḥammad al-Khallā'ī, who submitted the account, expressly says that he paid out certain sums 'in accordance with papers in his hand', i.e. on the authority of receipts and requisitions.

It would be wrong, however, to think that the barid was not maintaining its own horses at this time. We have already referred to the misappropriation of barid horses in the letter to the Qadi. The Treasury account also mentions 24 dirhams for 'the cost of barley for the horses of the Royal barid (*al-barīd al-mansūra* sic!)', and a later item, 11 dirhams for 'barley for the Emir Shabyūq for the horses of the barid.'

But why is the Treasury in Jerusalem dealing with these matters? The Muḥammad al-Khallā'ī who presented the account is definitely a Treasury official. In the inventories alluded to he is described as 'the responsible official on behalf of the Treasury' or 'the person in charge of the sale [i.e. of the individual's effects]', and elsewhere as 'the *mustawfī* of the Treasury.'¹² This would appear to suggest that there were no separate officials dealing with barid business, for otherwise one would surely expect to find in the Treasury account merely a global figure transferred to the barid for detailed disbursement there. It is also worth noting that the muleteer I have mentioned received the money owed him for the hire of his mule not from any barid official but from the Inspector of the Treasury in Jerusalem (nāzir bait al-māl al-ma'mūr), Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm.

This particular income from the escheated inheritances did not go to meet the expenses of the overland barid alone. There were items connected with the pigeon post, that is, sums for personnel of that service (sing. barrāj) and even for 'barley for the horse of the barrāj' and a saddle for it (raḥl?). But in addition to that there was a much wider range of expenditure, which met payment to notaries connected with the Hospital, a salary payment (20 dirhams) to the nāzir, the Inspector of the Treasury mentioned earlier, another of $57\frac{1}{2}$ dirhams to

⁸ La Poste, 84, note 331.

⁹ Op. cit., p. 21.

¹⁰ Huda Lutfi, in her Al-Quds al-Mamlûkiyya etc., Berlin, 1985, p. 306, infers an occupation as courier (barīdī) for a certain Zain al-Dīn al-Ḥalabī, from the fact that his inventory (Haram no. 556) includes 'three sacks for the mail (thalāth sharārīb mukaffala li'l-barīd) and a donkey'(!) I do not know the justification for interpreting shurrāba as 'mail sack'. Qalqashandī (Şubh, xīv, p. 371) describes it as an identifying yellow silk scarf or such like, worn by a courier. I believe that mukaffala (meaning what here?) could be read as mulauwana, 'coloured'.

 $^{^{11}}$ Haram no. 770 (th). One is bound to say that the text is doubtful at this point and the mule may well have been hired for a $barr\bar{a}i$, a 'tower-man' or dovecote attendant, an agent of the pigeon post.

¹² Haram no. 669.

the Intendant (*shādd*), Saif al-Dīn Qarābughā, who worked alongside the Inspector and is described in Haram no. 770 (*h*) as the *amīr ākhūr* of the Governor of Jerusalem, and finally a salary payment of 118 dirhams for the month of Ramaḍān 793/August 1391 to the Shāfi'ī deputy Qadi of Jerusalem, al-ʿAsqalānī.¹³

It is difficult to draw conclusions on the basis of such meagre evidence. However, my general impression is that the study of this account and the handful of other relevant documents mentioned suggests an official postal service operating towards the end of the 14th century at Jerusalem, which had previously been thought to lack any branch of that service, but one operating in a somewhat hand-to-mouth fashion. Just a few years later the whole system of the barid collapsed, as a result of the violent disruptions caused by Tamerlane's invasion, and was not re-established.¹⁴

 $^{^{13}}$ See Haram no. 770 (t) in Appendix C below, from which we learn that his name was Ibrāhīm.

¹⁴ Qalqashandī, Şubḥ, xıv, p. 370.

D. S. RICHARDS

Appendix

There follow the texts of some of the documents referred to above. Practically all the diacritical points are editorial.

A. Haram no. 272: paper, length 32.5 cm, width 12.75 cm, dated 16 Safar 793/23 January 1391. Verso blank.

1. بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

.2 الشرق

right margin

between 11. 2-3.

.3 يقبل الأرض وينهى انه ليسل الله تعالى دوام أيام مولانا

.4 قاضي القضاة ايده الله تعالى وينهى ورود المرسوم العالى على يد...

5. بدر الدین بدر یتضمن سلامة مولانا قاضی القضاة وعافیته واما ما ذکر من جهة

.6 محمد الخلاعى انه تعدا على خيل البريد المنصور فقد وجده المملوك

7. بمدينة قاقون وسلم على المملوك ولو كان مع المملوك علم كان يمسكه .

.8 ولكن في عوده من الشام المحروس يمسكه المملوك ان شا الله تعالى

....... انهى المملوك ذلك

.14. انهی المعلوب دلك

.15 ان شا الله تعالى

.16 كتب في سادس عشر شهر صفر سنة ثلاث وتسعين وسبعمائة

17. الحمد لله وحده وصلوته على سيدنا محمد وآله وصحبه وسلامه

.18 حسينا الله ونعم الوكيل

B. The text of the account for Shawwāl 793/September 1391, as found in Haram no. 374: paper, length 28.5 cm, width 19.5 cm, folded three times vertically with the text written in two vertical columns on the left fold only. Verso blank.

I give the variants and additions found in the other two documents:

- (i) Haram no. 535 = B.
- (ii) Haram no. 59 = H.

All the numerals are given in the originals in the *siyāqa* script. I have doubts about my interpretation of the fractions, although all the figures here given 'work out'.

1. الحمد لله رب العالمين

2 محاسية

4. لاستقبال شهر شوال 3 سنة ثلاث وتسعين وسبعماية 4 . والآخر رابع عشريه... 4

.6 من الدراهم⁵

.7 تركة عاتكة ثانى شوال

 $7 \sqrt{7}$ تركة على القطان6ثاني شوال $7 \sqrt{7}$ ال

۹۳۸ $\sqrt{7}$ على بن احمد بن خلف 7 تاسع شوال ۹۳۸ و و ترکة على بن احمد بن خلف

10. تركة (مصالح...؟) عدل بن حيدر العجمي 8 عاشر شوال١٠

الم تركة عائشة بنت عبد الله بن يوسف زوج على شاه 9 عاشر شوال 7 ۲۹ شوال 7

12. تركة بيرم¹⁰بنت عبد الله 1٢٠

13. في شوال ١١٢٦ هـ

انصرف من ذلك 11.

.15 * في جامكيه النظر ٢٠

.16 في اجرة سعاة برسم المهمات الشريفة ٢٢

.17 اجرة شهود المحضر بالمارستان ٣

.18 ثمن ورق 12 ه

. 19 معلوم الحاكم الشافعي العسقلاني¹³

.20 جامكية الشاد سيف الدين قرابغا ¹⁴ ٧٨ ٧٥

شعير لفرس البراج 15 ثمن شعير لفرس البراج 21.

.22 ثمن رحل الفرس ايضا ¹⁶ من رحل الفرس ايضا

23. ثمن شعير خيل البريد المنصورة [كذا]

.24 في مرتب شهاب الدين ¹⁷ المحتسب^(؟) ٢

.25 تفسير السيف طشتمر مملوك نائب غزة 18 ١٥٠

16. اجرة سواق وبغل وثمن شعير 26.
27. في وفاء قرض 27.

.29 تسفير قطلوبغا البريدي 29.

.30 اجرة بغل للبريدي¹⁹

..... 31.

المنجمع والمصروف مقابلة المواريث الحشرية 1. H:

خلد الله تعالى ملك مولانا مالكها وادام... خلد الله تعالى ملك مولانا مالكها

3. H adds: المارك

مما ذلك حميعه (؟) املاء الحاج محمد الخلاعى : 4. H adds ...من املاء الحاج محمد الخلاعى :B begins at this point with

5. B and H add: \\YA \\\\

۱۲۲ بقی ۱/۲ م

6. See Haram no. 770 (dh).

7. H adds: بيات العامود See Haram no. 770 (b)

8. See Haram no 770 (ḥ). There the deceased's name is al-Hājj Adl b. Rasūl b. Ḥaidar.

- 9. See Haram no. 770 (a).
- 10. Sic B and H. See Haram no. 770 (kh), which gives Nairūz.
- 11. B and H here give total: 6261/2.
- 12. Missing in B.
- 13. H: في جامكية الحاكم العسقلاني المتصرف ف جامكية الحاكم الشافعي بالقدس الشريف B:
- 14. Name omitted in B and H, and figures also missing in B.
- للفرس الذي للبراج 15. H:
- الفرس H: لفرس البريد H: الفرس
- 17. H adds: احمد

11

- . H is not clear مملوك مولانا ملك الامرا بغزة اعز الله يصره: 18. B:
- 19. B adds: الذكور. H is not clear. Cf. Haram no. 770 (th)

Document Haram no. 535 (= B) continues in the left margin:

- .2 ثم ذكر الحاج محمد الخلاعي ان المبلغ المرصد تحت يده
- 105 1/ .3 بمقتضى اوراق بيده الى يوم تاريخه
 - .4 تفصيله

.18 شعير (؟) الحسباني

- .5 ناصر سواق حسبان
- .6 مرتب (؟)
- .7 شعير برسم الامير شبيوق لخيل البريد 11
- .8 براج (؟)....
- .9 سواق بغاتمر
- .10 عيسى البريدي (؟)
- .11 شهاب الدين البراج (؟)
- ١. 12. كرى بغل للبريدي
- 17 . 13 تسفير لغزة
- .14 احرة محاضر
- .15 براج
- .16 ابن هلال
- ١. .17 شعير سواق الكرك وغزة
- .19 اين هلال
- ١. .20 خالد ولده

If this supplementary expenditure of 1531/2 dirhams is deducted from the 5001/4 carried forward, that would leave 3461/4 in hand.

C. Haram no. 770 (t): Receipt for Qadi's salary, on or after 15 Shawwal 793 / 15 September 1391.

- 1. الحمد لله قبض من مباشري بيت المال المعمور بالقدس الشريف معلوم
- .2 الحكم العزيز بالبلد المذكور عن شهر رمضان سنة ثلث وتسعين وسبع مائة المدفع في تواريخ أخرها
 - .3 خامس عشر شوال سنة تاريخه تسعة وخمسين
 - .4 كتبه ابراهيم الشافعي

The sum of 118 dirhams was the total salary for the month. The other figure, 59, was the latest instalment paid on 15 Shawwal.

D. Haram no. 770 (th): Receipt for hire of mule, dated 22 Shawwal 793 / 22 September 1391.

- .1 بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم
- .2 أقر محمد بن أحمد بن عيسى عريف المكارية
- 3. بالقدس الشريف اقرارا شرعيا في صحة منه وسلامة
 - .4 وجواز أمره انه قبض وتسلم وصار اليه من يد
 - 5. الصدر الاجل شمس الدين محمد بن ابرهيم
- .6 ناظر بيت المال المعمور بالقدس الشريف من الدراهم
 - .7 الفضة الجيد معاملة الشام المحروس مبلغ
 - .8 عشرة دراهم نصفها ٥ وذلك عن اجرة بغل
- .9 استاجره البراج (او البريدي؟) من القابض المذكور الى بلد
 - .10 الخليل عليه السلام ورجوعه الى القابض
 - .11 الآجر المذكور اعلاه قبض المبلغ المعين اعلاه قبضا
 - .12 تاما وافيا كاملا.... وبه شهد عليه
- .13 في ثاني عشرى شهر شوال سنة ثلث وتسعين وسبعمائة
- .14 شبهدت على القابض بذلك شهدت على القابض بذلك
- كتبه محمد بن عثمان (؟) كتبه عبد الرحمن الغزى (؟)